GAMSO and GSBPM - numbering

(issue already raised by Steve in August 2015)

Since GSBPM is obviously destined to be ultimately merged into GAMSO, it would be good to avoid conflicts of numbering between GAMSO activities and GSBPM phases/sub-processes. For example, “1.1” is activity “Define vision” in GAMSO and sub-process “Identify needs” in GSBPM. One possibility would be to change the numbering of the top level activities in GAMSO (e.g. A, B, C or I, II, III). Another possibility, probably more consistent, would be to indicate that GSBPM phases and processes numbers will be prefixed by 4 in the future (e.g. 4.1.1 for “Identify needs”).