Effective risk management is fundamental to the success of modernization in national statistical organisations (NSOs), in that it concerns both organisation and production processes. Actually, Risk management, on the one hand, points at strengthening organization governance on the whole by supporting the decision-making process when selecting priorities; on the other hand, it points at identifying, analysing and removing the uncertainties that can put obstacles in the way of change and development.

The UN Guidelines on Risk Management in Statistical Organizations give NSOs, interested in internally implementing a risk management system, a reference based on the practices developed within the UNECE organizations and containing some key features: effective development, sustainability (in terms of resources and complexity), alignment with change management processes.

This training module supports the implementation of the Guidelines and is structured consistently with the ISO 31000:2018 standard architecture; this standard is widely accepted internationally as well as used by most public organisations when implementing Risk management systems.
Module 4: Risk Identification, Assessment and Treatment

Risk identification is the process of determining risks that could potentially prevent the program, enterprise, or transaction from achieving its objectives, including documenting and communicating the concerns.

Risk assessment is the determination of quantitative or qualitative estimate of risk related to a well-defined situation and a recognized threat.
Risk Identification Techniques

The Management of Risk – Practitioners Guidance identify several different ways of identifying risks within organizations and these include:

**Checklists**
Checks are useful aids as repositories of organizational learning. They provide a mechanism to ensure that risks identified on previous similar activities are not overlooked for the current activity.

**Prompt List**
Prompt lists commonly stimulate thinking about the sources of risk in the widest context through the provision of risk categories and sources of risk from within an organization and in the external environment.

**Cause & Effect Diagrams**
Also known as fishbone diagrams, this technique helps in understanding causes or sources of uncertainty that may give rise to risks.

**Group Techniques**
(including brainstorming, nominal group technique & Delphi technique)
Group workshops can be rich sources of ideas but can also introduce systematic bias to the process so strong facilitation is required. Brainstorming relies on the spontaneous generation of ideas but are not necessarily collected at this stage. Nominal group technique uses anonymous opportunities to submit ideas (using post-it notes). The Delphi technique is a way of eliciting a response through a remote method to preserve anonymity and mitigate conformity pressures.

**Questionnaires**
These provide prompts for participants and are a useful way of engaging more remote stakeholders.

**Individual Interviews**
Some stakeholders will warrant an individual interview to discuss the activity, their
assumptions and the risks they perceive. Can be useful for engaging senior stakeholders to protect their time and prevent them from influencing a group session
Ground Rules

- Everyone to participate
- No risks should be rejected. Part of the fun is think up things that could happen that are a bit on the absurd side.
- The participants must limit the risks to those that can occur on the day of the wedding.
- The participants must be reminded that when they identify a risk it should be stated in a way that it can be quantified.

Wedding Ceremony
Who is going to officiate?
Wedding music during the ceremony

Food
Catering food in the welcoming area, the wedding area, the entertainment area
Catering a sit down dinner after the wedding
Main wedding cake and mini wedding cakes for each table

Beverages
Providing alcoholic and non-alcoholic drinks in all areas

Entertainment
Small band music prior to the wedding
Dance music after the wedding
Bruno Mars and his backup band
The Montreal Philharmonic Orchestra

Party Favors
Small gift for each of the guests
Larger gift for members of the wedding party
Small gifts for staff
Large gifts for local police

Clothing
Bride’s dress
Groom's clothing
The wedding party
Dressers for hair, make up and clothing

Location
The wedding is to take place Barbour Castle which is on 10 acres of landscaped lawns and gardens in London, England

VIP Transportation
Special transportation for distinguished guests:
Queen Elizabeth II of Great Britan
The Royal Family
President Putin of Russia
Former Prime Minister David Cameron
Former President Barack Obama
President Donald Trump
114 Members of Parliament
The Beckham Family
Cliff Richard & Elton John
George Clooney & his wife
Oprah Winfrey

Security
Perimeter security from paparazzi
Internal security for unruly guests
Terrorist attacks
Contamination of food and drink
Air security
Parking lots

Medical Care
Emergency medical staff
Emergency infirmary
Private doctor for bride and groom

Decorations
Flowers and decorations inside house
Flowers and decorations outside house
Wedding flowers for Bride
Flowers for wedding party
Ground Rules

• Everyone to participate
• No risks should be rejected. Part of the fun is think up things that could happen that are a bit on the absurd side.
• The participants must limit the risks to those that can occur on the day of the wedding.
• The participants must be reminded that when they identify a risk it should be stated in a way that it can be quantified.

Risk Identification

Flip chart paper can be used to write down all of the risks that the participants name. It is very important that the principles of brainstorming be used.

That is that ANY risk that is mentioned is allowed to be listed. It is important that the participants be encouraged to add risks to the list that are a bit on the absurd side. This will increase the entertainment value of the exercise.

The facilitator must guide the participants to stay within the scope of the project. That is, the participants should not list risks that are not within the scope of this project. Risks that should be excluded are risks that occur off the wedding property or risks that take place before or after the wedding day.

The facilitator must be careful not to judge the mentioned risks and not allow the other participants to judge the risks. The brain storming session continues until the fist page of the flip chart is filled with identified risks

Ranking the Risks

Looking over the list of risks and their severity, mark the rank of the four or five highest severity risks. There will usually be a break where there will be four or five risks that have a quite high severity and the rest will be noticeably lower. It is not necessary to rank all of the risks.
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Risk Identification

Flip chart paper can be used to write down all of the risks that the participants name. It is very important that the principles of brainstorming be used.

That is that ANY risk that is mentioned is allowed to be listed. It is important that the participants be encouraged to add risks to the list that are a bit on the absurd side. This will increase the entertainment value of the exercise.

The facilitator must guide the participants to stay within the scope of the project. That is, the participants should not list risks that are not within the scope of this project. Risks that should be excluded are risks that occur off the wedding property or risks that take place before or after the wedding day.

The purpose of addressing (treating) risks is to turn uncertainty to the organization’s benefit, by constraining threats and taking advantage of opportunities.

The facilitator must be careful not to judge the mentioned risks and not allow the other participants to judge the risks. The brainstorming session continues until the first page of the flip chart is filled with identified risks.

Ranking the Risks

Looking over the list of risks and their severity, mark the rank of the four or five highest severity risks. There will usually be a break where there will be four or five risks that have a quite high severity and the rest will be noticeably lower. It is not necessary to rank all of the risks.

Risk treatment should comply with legal requirements, as well as government and organizational policies. Therefore, decisions concerning whether risk treatment is required may be based on operational, technical, financial, legal, social, environmental or other criteria.

Such criteria should reflect the organization’s context, and depend on its internal policies, goals and objectives, as well as its stakeholders’ needs. In this respect, a
team approach is useful to help define the context properly and for well-targeted change management during risk treatment.
Risk treatment involves a **cyclical process** of:

a) **Assessing a risk treatment**: identify and evaluate risk treatment options;
b) **Planning risk treatment**: prepare a risk treatment schedule and action plan;
c) **Monitoring effectiveness** for that treatment;
d) **Measuring residual risk**: deciding whether residual risk levels are tolerable;
e) **Feed-back actions**: if residual risk is not tolerable, generating a new risk treatment and repeating the process.

**a) Risk treatment assessment**: an organization should select the best option at its disposal. That involves balancing the costs of implementing each option against the benefits derived from it, with regard to legal, regulatory, and other requirements such as social responsibility. In general, the cost of managing risks needs to be balanced with the benefits obtained. When making such cost versus benefit judgments, the context should be taken into account. It is important to consider all direct and indirect costs and benefits, whether tangible or intangible, and measure them in financial or other terms.

**b) Risk Treatment Plan**: Treatment should involve, at the operational level, preparing and implementing a related plan. It shows how the treatment options selected will be implemented and should be integrated with the management and budgetary processes. Specifically, the information provided in a treatment plan should include: (a) The reasons for selecting the treatment options, including expected benefits; (b) Who is accountable for approving the plan and who is responsible for implementing it; (c) The actions proposed; (d) Resource requirements, including contingencies; (e) Performance measures and constraints; (f) Reporting and monitoring requirements; (g) Timing and schedule.

**c) Risk treatment monitoring**: in designing response actions, it is important that the controls put in place are proportional to the risks. Risk analysis assists such a process by identifying those risks requiring attention by the management. Risk control actions will be prioritized in terms of their potential to benefit the organization. Effectiveness of internal control is determined by how much the risk will be either eliminated or reduced by the control measures proposed.

**d) Residual risk measurement**: If a residual risk persists even after treatment, a decision should be taken about whether to retain this risk or to repeat the risk treatment process. For residual risks that are deemed to be high, information should be collected about the cost of implementing further mitigation strategies.
Risk Assessment: Defining the priorities

RISK MATRIX

- can effectively summarise the risk assessment results.
- shows risks identified placed according to their probability and potential impact on a background of colors which represent different overall levels of risk.
- can be compiled from a larger volume of data, grouping the risk schemes to establish one broad category rating for both inherent and residual risk.
Risk Assessment: Defining the priorities

One risk area may have several schemes associated with it and each scheme may have different inherent and residual risk scores. Quantitative or qualitative:

a. Quantitative score: Each for inherent risk and control risk, can cover the average of the inherent and residual risk scores of all the schemes for that risk.

b. Qualitative scale assigns an overall inherent risk and control risk rating for a risk with several schemes that have different inherent risk and control risk ratings, based on the number of the schemes that are rated High, Medium, or Low.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Exposure Calculator</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rare (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desirable</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Likelihood x Impact = Exposure (L x I = E)
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- Everyone to participate
- No risks should be rejected. Part of the fun is think up things that could happen that are a bit on the absurd side.
- The participants must limit the risks to those that can occur on the day of the wedding.
- The participants must be reminded that when they identify a risk it should be stated in a way that it can be quantified.

When all of the risks have been listed the participants will be asked to evaluate the risks listed. Both the likelihood and the impact of each risk are to be evaluated qualitatively. In qualitative analysis the impact or the probability of each risk can be evaluated as high, medium or low or on a scale of 1 to 6. A value of 1 means that there is either no impact or a very low probability for the risk. A value of 6 means that the probability for the risk is 100%, a virtual certainty. Risks that have an impact or probability of 1 are insignificant and can be eliminated from further consideration. Risks that have a probability of 5 are a certainty and should be included in the project plan.

Evaluate Likelihood and Impact
It is best to evaluate the impact of each risk first. This discussion will expand everyone's understanding of the nature of the risk. For example, someone will probably name rain as a risk. When the impact of the risk is evaluated one participant will say the impact is a 6 and others will say that the impact is a 2. The facilitator can question the two values by saying, “What do you mean by that?” One of the participants may mean that rain is any rain at all, even a small amount while another may say that rain means a really gully washer.

Evaluation of Exposure
Once the Likelihood and impact have been listed on the table, the Exposure can be calculated. This is done by simply multiplying the value for the risk in the impact column by the value in the Likelihood column.
There are different response action categories which correspond to key general approaches, not necessarily mutually exclusive, or appropriate in all circumstances. Often a risk response may combine two or more of these strategies to achieve the desired results.

There are different response action categories which correspond to key general approaches for risk treatment. These response action categories are:

**TOLERATE.** The exposure may be tolerable without any further action being taken or even if not tolerable, the ability to do anything may be limited (or the cost of taking any action may be disproportionate to the potential benefit). In these cases the response may be to tolerate the existing level of risk. This option, of course, may be supplemented by contingency planning for handling the impact that will arise if the risk results in actual events.

The actions related to this kind of approach are:

- **Risk acceptance:** no action is taken to affect likelihood or impact.
- **Retaining:** after risks have been changed or shared, there will be residual risks that are retained. The risk can be retained by informed decision: acceptance of the burden of loss, or benefit of gain, from a particular risk, including the acceptance of risks that have not been identified or when there is a failure to identify risks.

**TREAT** Usually, the majority of risks are addressed this way. The purpose of treatment is that whilst continuing with the activity that gives rise to the risk, specific action is taken in order to constrain such a risk to an acceptable level. Actions related to this kind of approach are as follows:

- **Removing:** removing the risk source.
- **Risk reduction**, actions are taken for:
  - Changing likelihood (mitigating actions): action taken to reduce the likelihood of negative outcomes and/or to increase opportunity, in order to enhance good outcomes.
  - Changing the consequences (contingency actions): actions taken to reduce the extent of losses and/or to increase the extent of gains with reference to related opportunities. These include setting up pre-event measures and post event responses such as continuity plans.

From the risk management perspective, the first kind of action (changing likelihood) should be preferred as it prevents the risk rather than waiting for the consequences.
An organization can normally benefit from adopting a combination of treatment options. Implementation of the risk responses selected involves developing a risk plan.

TRANSFER For some risks the best response may be to transfer them. The transfer of risks may be considered to either reduce the exposure of the organization or because of another organization (which may be another public organization) judged more capable of effectively managing such risks. It is worth noting that some risks are not (fully) transferable: in particular, reputational risk can hardly be transferred. Relationship with the third party to which the risk is transferred needs to be carefully managed to ensure a successful transfer.

Actions related to this kind of approach are as follows:

- **Transferring** the risk or a portion of it.
- **Sharing** another party or parties bearing or sharing some part of the risk outcomes, usually by providing additional capabilities or resources that increase the likelihood of opportunities, or the extent of gains from them. Sharing positive outcomes can involve sharing some of the costs involved in acquiring them.

Sharing arrangements can often introduce new risks, in that the other party or parties may not effectively deliver the required capabilities or resources.

TERMINATE Some risks will only be treatable, or reducible to acceptable levels, by terminating the activity. It is worth noting that such an option can be severely limited in the public sector when compared to the private one.

It can be particularly important in project management.

**Avoiding**: action is taken to stop the activities giving rise to risk or avoiding the risk by not starting such activities (where this option can be practiced). Risk avoidance cannot occur properly if individuals or organizations are unnecessarily risk-averse. Inappropriate risk avoidance may either increase the significance of other risks or lead to the loss of opportunities.

TAKE THE OPPORTUNITY This option is not an alternative to those above; rather it is an option that should be considered whenever tolerating, transferring or treating a risk. This can occur in two ways: the first is when an opportunity arises to exploit positive impact whether or not action is taken to mitigate threats at the same time. The second is when circumstances arise which, whilst not generating threats, offer positive opportunities.

- **Taking/increasing**: taking or increasing risk in order to pursue an opportunity.
Ground Rules

- Everyone to participate
- No risks should be rejected. Part of the fun is think up things that could happen that are a bit on the absurd side.
- The participants must limit the risks to those that can occur on the day of the wedding.
- The participants must be reminded that when they identify a risk it should be stated in a way that it can be quantified.

Setting Risk Treatment Approach

Going over the four or five highest ranked risks, and starting with the highest ranked one, ask the participants what they would do about it. The participants will give ideas of things that could be done.

Ask them to consider the previous slides where each type of risk treatment approach was highlighted and ask them to consider which one would best fit the risk identified.

Encourage them to consider mitigation strategies of reducing the probability or the impact or both. Transfer and avoidance strategies can also be used. The risks that are ranked lower are perhaps risks that could be accepted.

Return to the ‘royal wedding’ exercise and decide on treatment plans.
Risk Assessment – Risk treatment process

Risk treatment involves a cyclical process of:

Assessing a risk treatment: identify and evaluate risk treatment options, evaluating costs of implementing each option with regard to legal, regulatory, and other requirements such as social responsibility;

Planning risk treatment, through an action plan including: reasons for selecting the treatment options, accountabilities for approving the plan and implementing it; actions; resource requirements; performance measures; reporting and monitoring; timing and schedule;

c) Monitoring treatment effectiveness by controls put in place proportionally to the risks. Risk control actions will be prioritized in terms of potential benefits for the organization and analyzed into 4 different controls:

1. Preventative controls, designed to limit undesirable outcomes
2. Corrective controls, designed to correct undesirable outcomes that have occurred
3. Directive controls, designed to ensure that a particular outcome is achieved
4. Detective controls, designed to identify occasions of occurrence of undesirable outcomes

Measuring residual risk: deciding whether residual risk levels are tolerable based on the collected information about the cost of implementing further mitigation strategies.

Feed-back actions: if residual risk is not tolerable, generating a new risk treatment and repeating the process.
Development of Risk Treatment Plan

Risk Treatment is the process of selecting and implementing of measures to modify risk. Risk treatment measures can include avoiding, optimizing, transferring or retaining risk.

Having identified and evaluated the risks, the next step involves the identification of alternative appropriate actions for managing these risks, the evaluation and assessment of their results or impact and the specification and implementation of treatment plans.

Since identified risks may have varying impact on the organization, not all risks carry the prospect of loss or damage. Opportunities may also arise from the risk identification process, as types of risk with positive impact or outcomes are identified.

Treatment options for risks having negative outcomes look similar to those for risks with positive ones, although their interpretation and implications are completely different. Such options or alternatives might be:

- to avoid the risk by deciding to stop, postpone, cancel, divert or continue with an activity that may be the cause for that risk;
- to modify the likelihood of the risk trying to reduce or eliminate the likelihood of the negative outcomes;
- to try modifying the consequences in a way that will reduce losses;
- to share the risk with other parties facing the same risk (insurance arrangements and organizational structures such as partnerships and joint ventures can be used to spread responsibility and liability); (of course one should always keep in mind that if a risk is shared in whole or in part, the organization is acquiring a new risk, i.e. the risk that the organization to which the initial risk has been transferred may not manage this risk effectively.)
- to retain the risk or its residual risks;
Ground Rules

- Everyone to participate
- No risks should be rejected. Part of the fun is think up things that could happen that are a bit on the absurd side.
- The participants must limit the risks to those that can occur on the day of the wedding.
- The participants must be reminded that when they identify a risk it should be stated in a way that it can be quantified.

Risk Identification

Flip chart paper can be used to write down all of the risks that the participants name. It is very important that the principles of brainstorming be used.

That is that ANY risk that is mentioned is allowed to be listed. It is important that the participants be encouraged to add risks to the list that are a bit on the absurd side. This will increase the entertainment value of the exercise.

The facilitator must guide the participants to stay within the scope of the project. That is, the participants should not list risks that are not within the scope of this project. Risks that should be excluded are risks that occur off the wedding property or risks that take place before or after the wedding day.

Return to the ‘royal wedding’ risks and develop treatment plans.

The facilitator must be careful not to judge the mentioned risks and not allow the other participants to judge the risks.

The brain storming session continues until the fist page of the flip chart is filled with identified risks.
The 3 Lines of Defence (3LOD – ECIIA)

**Internal control system** is structured on **3 different levels**:

1. The **first line,** *(Risk owners)* by **operational management** who have the **responsibility** to identify analyze and mitigate risks;
2. The **second line,** *(Risk Manager)* that is the role of the **compliance function,** with the task of **facilitating and monitoring** the implementation of effective “risk management” by managers; this level oversees the process of risk assessment and control, ensuring its consistency with **business goals**;
3. The **third line** is played by Audit, which, with a "risk based" approach, **provides an adequate assurance** on the **effectiveness** whereby the organization **evaluates and controls compliance** and **adequacy of the other lines.**
Risk Alerts

Red Flags are several and depend on the process and the context, for example:
- An excessively small number of participants in the invitations to tender;
- Abnormal transactions volumes;
- Too many exemptions compared with the usual business procedures.

In case of warnings of possible dangerous actions coming from regular routine checks, control tests or other sources, the Controller (eg IIA) evaluates whether to initiate further inquiries or to report anomalies by promoting a “targeted investigation”.

Monitoring and evaluation of risk treatment must enable verification (both in the on-going and ex post) whether the actions:

- Have been adopted
- Are efficient
- Pursue the expected results